THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

–

W.P.No.20377 of 2005

–

Date:30.12.2005

K.V.Narsaiah.

----------Petitioner

APSRTC and another.

----------Respondents

ORDER:

–

The petitioner was working as a Superintendent in the Falaknuma Depot of APSRTC. With effect from 31.03.2004, he was compulsorily retired from service on the basis of an order, dated 20.01.2004, on medical grounds. His grievance is that the relevant retirement benefits have not been extended to him, despite his representation.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

It is not in dispute that the petitioner stood compulsorily retired from service with effect from 31.03.2004. The petitioner states that he was extended the benefits up to Rs.1,41,342/-, whereas several other amounts, such as gratuity, provident fund for the left over period were not extended to him. The record does not disclose that he has made any specific representation, narrating these grievances. The representation, which is said to have been made by the petitioner, is as vague as it can be.

Hence, the Writ Petition is disposed of, leaving it open to the petitioner to

submit a representation pointing out the nature and extent of benefits, which according to him are payable by the respondent. As and when such a representation is made by the petitioner, the respondents shall pass appropriate orders thereon, within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of the same. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

30.12.2005

Jsu